

STATE OF KANSAS

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

2ND FLOOR, KANSAS JUDICIAL CENTER, TOPEKA 66612-1597

ROBERT T. STEPHAN ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 24, 1990

MAIN PHONE: (913) 296-2215 CONSUMER PROTECTION: 296-3751 TELECOPIER: 296-6296

ATTORNEY GENERAL OPINION NO. 90- 118

Mr. H. R. (Rocky) Vacek, Administrative Officer Kansas Board of Barber Examiners 717 S. Kansas Avenue Topeka, Kansas 66603-3811

Re:

Public Health--Examination, Registration and Regulation of Barbers--Eligibility of Convicted Sex Offenders for State Barber's License

Synopsis: While the Kansas board of barbers has the authority to make a moral character determination about an individual applying for licensure, the board cannot exercise this authority prior to the individual's application for licensure. There exists no statutory authority for identifying suitable candidates for a correctional facility's barber training program. Cited herein: K.S.A. 65-1812; K.S.A. 1989 Supp. 65-1821; 77-501 et seq.

Dear Mr. Vacek:

As administrator for the Kansas board of barber examiners you inquire whether the board is authorized to make a determination of an inmate/applicant's moral character prior to their entering a correctional facility's barber training program.

You indicate that the board would like to limit the risk of licensure denial based on the good moral character standard in subsection (a)(1) of K.S.A. 65-1812. The board would like to implement a screening procedure wherein a determination of

good moral character can be made for persons convicted of sexual offenses prior to their entering a corrections facility's barber training program.

There is no question that the board is authorized to make a determination of good moral character when application for licensure is made. K.S.A. 65-1812 requires the board determine an applicant for licensure is of "good moral character and temperate habits." The moral character standard has been upheld as an appropriate inquiry for licensure when the profession or trade operates directly on the person and thereby directly affects the health, safety and welfare of the public. 10 Am.Jur.2d <u>Barbers</u> § 1 (1963). <u>See also</u> Kansas State Board of <u>Healing</u> Arts v. Acker, 228 Kan. 145 (1980) (the standard withstood a challenge for vagueness).

In our judgment this authority cannot be exercised prior to licensure application. The Kansas board of barber examiners, as an administrative agency, is a tribunal of limited jurisdiction. 2 Am.Jur.2d Administrative Law, § 328, 345. Their jurisdiction, determined entirely by statute, lies with supervising and regulating the practice of barbering and the barbering industry. K.S.A. 65-1808; 65-1824. Prior to applying for licensure, an individual is not within the regulatory jurisdiction of the board.

In conclusion there exists no statutory authority for identifying suitable candidates for a correctional facility's barber training program.

Very truly yours,

ROBERT T. STEPHAN

Attorney General of Kansas

Guen Easley

Assistant Attorney General

RTS:JLM:GE:jm